

Bingham McCutchen LLP  
DAVID M. BALABANIAN (SBN 37368)  
CHRISTOPHER B. HOCKETT (SBN 121539)  
JOY K. FUYUNO (SBN 193890)  
Three Embarcadero Center  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067  
Telephone: (415) 393-2000  
Facsimile: (415) 393-2286

Attorneys for Defendant  
Intel Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MICHAEL BRAUCH, a resident of San  
Francisco, and ANDREW MEIMES, a resident of  
New York, on behalf of themselves and all others  
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware  
corporation,

Defendant.

No. C-05-2743-MHP

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER TO CONTINUE FILING DATE  
FOR DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO  
PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT

IT IS STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR  
COUNSEL AS FOLLOWS:

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiffs Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes  
["Plaintiffs"], and Defendant Intel Corporation hereby stipulate that Intel Corporation's response  
to Plaintiffs' complaint shall be due either 60 days after transfer of the above captioned case  
pursuant to any motion to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section  
1407 or, in the alternative, 45 days after any such motion has been denied. The parties request

---

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE RESPONSE DATE

1 this extension of time to answer or otherwise respond because Plaintiffs have filed a petition to  
2 coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407, the outcome of  
3 which will impact significantly the schedule of this case.

4 This is the first stipulation between the parties. Because this litigation has just  
5 begun, granting such a stipulation will not have any negative impact on the schedule of this case.

6 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED.  
7 DATED: July \_\_\_, 2005

8 Bingham McCutchen LLP

9  
10  
11 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
12 JOY K. FUYUNO  
13 Attorneys for Defendant  
14 Intel Corporation

15 DATED: July \_\_\_, 2005

16 The Furth Firm LLP

17  
18  
19 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
20 ALEX C. TURAN  
21 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
22 Benjamin Allanoff  
23  
24  
25  
26

**[PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE DATE**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Intel Corporation's response to Plaintiff's complaint shall be due either (1) the earlier of 60 days after transfer of the above captioned case pursuant to any motion to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407 or such time for response that the transferee Court may require for any action made part of the same MDL, or, (2) 45 days after any such motion has been denied.

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2005

\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel  
United States District Judge